

From: [Danielle DeVoney](#)
To: [Barbara Glenn](#)
Cc: [Bob Sonawane](#); [John Whalan](#); [Mary Ross](#); [Paul White](#); [Ravi Subramaniam](#); [Sury Vulimiri](#); [Susan Euling](#); [Susan Makris](#); [Thomas Bateson](#)
Subject: Re: Formaldehyde: Criteria - and NAS briefing
Date: 05/17/2011 12:02 PM
Attachments: [Final NAS Slides_noncancer_6Aug.ppt](#)
[reply to NAS question re criteria 6.23 FINAL.pdf](#)
[NC_WOE_Criteria_excerpt from RfCRfD review EPA2002.pdf](#)
[RfC_ATSDR_TechMemo 6-2-09_clean.docx](#)
[NCEA-Wash_DraftRfC_June2009.docx](#)

Barbara et al. -

Hi - here are the slides we discussed in yesterday's meeting. As I mentioned, Dr Samet specifically indicated that if this type of information had been in the document - the review would have been very different:



Final NAS Slides_noncancer_6Aug.ppt

The purpose of these slides was to walk through the progressive winnowing process which we did during document development - but which was unclear in the document. For those of us who are familiar with the IRIS process (haz ID first - then RfC development) I think we felt some of this was within the progressive summaries in the document (e.g. Domain summaries for human and Epi, then HazID in the Synthesis section of chapter 4, then moving those studies which could support RfC development into chapter 5.) However, the document is so long - and the text which transitions between sections (where it existed) was lost to anyone trying to read the documents anew. In a sense, the winnowing process is implicit in our document structure - but not explicit. When these documents get so long - it is lost entirely.

(b) (5)

A large rectangular black box redacting the majority of the text in this section. The text "(b) (5)" is visible in red at the top left corner of the redacted area.

How I would characterize the steps after our recent discussions:

(b) (5)

A large rectangular black box redacting the text in this section. The text "(b) (5)" is visible in red at the top left corner of the redacted area.A rectangular black box redacting a line of text.A rectangular black box redacting a line of text.

(b) (5)



reply to NAS question re criteria 6.23 FINAL.pdf NC_WOE_Criteria_excerpt from RfCRID review_EPA2002.pdf

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Two things which might be useful here are our original team version of the RfC section, and the ATSDR memo:



RfC_ATSDR_TechMemo 6-2-09 clean.docx NCEA-Wash_DraftRfC_June2009.docx

Danielle DeVoney, PhD, DABT, PE
National Center for Environmental Assessment
USEPA Office of Research and Development
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (8623P)
Washington, DC 20460
703.347.8558
FAX: 703.347.8692